

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

ROBERT E. KALUNIAN Acting County Counsel

May 7, 2009

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1838 FACSIMILE (213) 626-7446 TDD (213) 633-0901

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI

Executive Officer Board of Supervisor

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

JOHN F. KRATTLL

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Franklin G. Silva, et al. v. County of Los Angeles

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 349 175

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

JFK:rfm

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Franklin G. Silva</u>, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 349 175 in the amount of \$900,000, plus waiver of any known County bills from 2005, and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Sheriff's Department's budget.

This lawsuit arises from medical treatment received by an inmate while in the custody of the Sheriff's Department.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Franklin G. Silva, et al. v. County

of Los Angeles

CASE NUMBER BC 349175

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED March 17, 2006

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Los Angeles County Sheriff's

Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$900,000, plus waiver of any known County hospital bill from

2005.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Neil J. Fraser, Esq.

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Narbeh Bagdasarian

On March 7, 2005, Franklin Silva was placed in the custody of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department ("LASD"). To investigate the possibility of infection, on March 18, 2005, LASD personnel obtained a culture test from a skin lesion on Mr. Silva's right foot. The culture was sent to an outside laboratory.

The culture test results (which identified the presence of infection), became available on March 20, 2005, and was processed by LASD medical staff on March 22, 2005. Mr. Silva was transferred to LAC+USC Medical Center where he received appropriate care for his infection.

NATURE OF CASE

In spite of the treatments provided to Mr. Silva, the infection spread through his body causing injuries to his right foot and his right arm. As a result of his injuries, Mr. Silva had to undergo a surgical procedure where his right foot was amputated.

Mr. Silva filed a lawsuit for negligence and violation of civil rights against the County of Los Angeles. Mrs. Silva also brought an action for loss of consortium against the County. The plaintiffs contend that LASD personnel delayed the processing of the culture test results, which caused a delay in treatment of Mr. Silva's infection.

\$265,882.80

\$95,480.72

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE
PAID COSTS, TO DATE

Summary Corrective Action Plan

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	03-18-05/ Date patient reported an open sore to his right great toe	
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	A failure to review a positive laboratory culture in a timely manner resulted in a delay of treatment for necrotizing fasciitis infection. The infection resulted in an above-the-knee amputation to the patient's right leg. The amputation was performed on April 11, 2005, at Huntington Memorial Hospital.	
	o T	
	2	

1. Briefly describe the root cause of the claim/lawsuit:

Failure to adequately manage the inmate's infection.

on September 8, 2006, the Methicillin Resistant Staphylocol cas updated. This revision indicates that presumptive antibi Il patients with signs of skin infection.	ocus Aureus (MRSA) policy and procedure otic treatment for MRSA will be initiated for
established Interim Directive on April 3, 2008, for laboratory	personnel to ensure timely delivery of
n September 2008, additional Clinical Laboratory Scientists Services (CSSS) to ensure timely validation, delivery, and re	were added to Clinical Science Support eview of reports.
A multi-year process was initiated to create an interface beto contract laboratory. On February 19, 2009, the interface was provider to review all laboratory reports electronically.	ween the electronic medical record and the s completed which allows the medical
State if the corrective actions are applicable to only you (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management	ur department or other County departments ent Branch for assistance)
Potentially has County-wide implications.	
 □ Potentially has County-wide implications. □ Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e. one or more other departments). 	e., all human services, all safety departme
Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e.	
Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e. one or more other departments). Does not appear to have County-wide or other departments.	
Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e. one or more other departments). Does not appear to have County-wide or other departments: (Risk Management Coordinator)	artment implications.
Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e. one or more other departments). Does not appear to have County-wide or other departments: (Risk Management Coordinator)	Date: Date: Date:
Potentially has implications to other departments (i.e. one or more other departments).	Date: 3/23/09